EPA ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE CONTROL NUMBER FORM FOR ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

This form was originated by Wanda I. Santiago for	Name of Case Attorney 3/26/15 Date
in the ORC (RAA) at 918-1113 Office & Mail Code Phone number	
Case Docket Number CWA -01-2015 - 1	0036
Site-specific Superfund (SF) Acct. Number	
This is an original debt	his is a modification
Name and address of Person and/or Company/Muni	cipality making the payment:
Mirabito Holding, Inc. a/b/a	
DJ- Oil Express	·
18 Crystal Street	•
Lenox Dale, MA 01242	
Total Dollar Amount of Receivable \$ 3,000	Due Date:
SEP due? Yes No	Date Due
installment Method (if applicable)	
INSTALLMENTS OF:	
IST \$	on
2 nd \$	on
3 ^{re} \$	on
4 th \$	on
5 th §	on
For RHC Tracking Purposes:	
Copy of Check Received by RHC	Notice Sent to Finance
TO BE FILLED OUT BY LOCAL FINANCIAL	MANAGEMENT OFFICE:
IFMS Accounts Receivable Control Number	
If you have any questions call: in the Financial Management Office	Phone Number



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Region 1 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 Boston, MA 02109-3912

VIA HAND-DELIVERY

RECEIVED

March 26, 2015

MAR 2 6 2015 WS **EPA ORC**

Office of Regional Hearing Clerk

Wanda Santiago Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region 1 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 Mail Code ORA18-1 Boston, MA 02109-3912

Re:

In the Matter of Mirabito Holdings, Inc., d/b/a D.J. Oil Express., Lenox Dale,

Massachusetts 01242; CWA-01-2015-0036

Dear Ms. Santiago,

Enclosed for filing, please find an Expedited Settlement Agreement (ESA) settling the matter referenced above.

Pursuant to EPA Order Classification No. 2551.1A, dated June 7, 2006, the Regional Hearing Clerk (RHC) shall send a copy of the ESA in any Clean Water Act (CWA) case assessing a penalty under the authority of Section 311 of the CWA to:

U.S. EPA Cincinnati Finance Center 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive (MS-002) Cincinnati, OH 45268

In addition, the RHC must pass along the name and address of the regional attorney responsible for any collection recommendation if the civil debt becomes delinquent. For this case, the responsible attorney is:

Tonia Bandrowicz Senior Enforcement Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region 1 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 Mail Code OES04-3 Boston, MA 02109-3912 Tel: (617) 918-1734

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Heather L. Thompson
OES Legal Office

Enclosure



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 1, 5 POST OFFICE SQUARE, BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02109-3912

APPROVED BY EPA:

EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Docket No. CWA-01-2015-0036

On September 10, 2014, an authorized representative of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") conducted an inspection at Mirabito Holdings, Inc. d/b/a D.J. Oil Express ("Respondent"), located at 18 Crystal Street, Lenox Dale, Massachusetts 01242 to determine compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations promulgated at 40 C.F.R. part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (the "Act"), 33 U.S.C. § 1321(j). EPA determined that Respondent, as owner or operator of the facility, violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations as noted on the attached Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan ("SPCC") Inspection Findings and Violations Form ("Violations Form") which is hereby incorporated by reference. By its first signature below, EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Violations set forth in the Violations Form.

The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Violations Form for a penalty of \$3,000. The parties are authorized to enter into this Expedited Settlement under the authority of Section 311(b) (6) (B) (i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b) (6) (B) (i), and by 40 C.F.R. § 22.13(b).

This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

EPA finds the Respondent is subject to the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations, and has violated the regulations as further described in the Violations Form. Respondent admits it is subject to the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations and that EPA has jurisdiction over Respondent and Respondent's conduct as described in the Violations Form. Respondent admits to the facts in the first paragraph of this Settlement Agreement, and waives any objections it may have to EPA's jurisdiction. Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above.

Respondent further certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations identified in the Violations Form have been corrected and the facility is now in full compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations (or that the violations will be corrected and the facility brought in full compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations within an alternative time frame agreed to by EPA in writing). Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of \$3,000, payable to the Environmental Protection Agency, to: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Fines and Penalties, P.O. Box 979077, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000. This check shall reference the docket number of the case and the "Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund – 311." Respondent shall send a copy of the check to Heather Thompson, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1, 5 Post Office Square (OES04-4), Boston, Massachusetts 02109-3912, and to the Regional Hearing Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1, 5 Post Office Square (ORC-18), Boston, Massachusetts 02109-3912.

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice.

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.31(b).

Once the Expedited Settlement is signed by the Regional Judicial Officer, the original Expedited Settlement will be filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk and a copy will be mailed to: U.S. EPA Cincinnati Finance Office, 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive (MS-002), Cincinnati, OH 45268. A copy of the Expedited Settlement will also be mailed to the Respondent.

If Respondent does not sign and return this Expedited Settlement as presented within 30 days of the date of its receipt, the proposed Expedited Settlement is withdrawn without prejudice to EPA's ability to file any other enforcement action for the violations identified in the Violations Form.

After this Expedited Settlement becomes effective, EPA will take no further civil penalty action against Respondent for the violations of the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations described in the Violations Form through the order date of this Expedited Agreement. However, EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by Respondent of the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations.

Joanna Jerison, Legal Enforcement Manager Office of Environmental Stewardship
APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:
Name (print): Joseph Mirabuto
Title (print): CEO/PRESIDENT
Signature: Deta Miralito Date: 3/17/15
IT IS SO ORDERED:
Date: 3/25/15
Acting Regional Judicial Officer

RECEIVED

MAR 2 6 2015

EPA ORC WS
Office of Regional Hearing Clerk



Oil Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings and Violations Form

MAR 2 8 20.5

EPA ORC W Office of Regional Hearing Clerk

Company	Name:	Docket Number:	
Mirabito Holding, Inc. d/b/a D.J. Oil Express		CWA-01-2015-0036	UNITED STATES
Facility N	ame:	Date of Inspection:	BINCH BINCH
S.A.A		September 10, 2014	BANAS AND THE PROTECTION
Address:			WAL PROTECTE
18 Crys	tal Street	·	
City:		Inspector's Name:	
Lenox I	enox Dale Joseph Canzano, Oil Spill Prevention Co Coordinator		vention Compliance
State:	Zip Code:	Enforcement Contact:	
MA	01242	Joseph Canzano, Oil Spill Pre Coordinator Tel: 617-918-1763	vention Compliance
Facility Contact:		· ·	
George	Mister, Facility Manager	Tel: 607-352-2811	

Summary of Findings

On September 10, 2014, EPA conducted an inspection at the facility. At the time of the inspection, facility personnel were unable to produce for review the facility's most resent SPCC Plan. In addition, tank and piping inspections were not conducted in accordance with written procedures, employee training records were not maintained, and annual training was not conducted.

On October 1, 2014, the facility provided a response to EPA's September 10, 2014 inspection. On December 15, 2014, the facility provided a response to EPA's November 3, 2013, Request for Information. Since EPA's inspection the facility submitted an amended SPCC Plan (dated December 16, 2014), and instituted new inspection and employee training procedures.

The facility stores 62,160 gallons of oil (on-road diesel, No. 2 home heating) and includes a loading rack and dispenser stations. A discharge of oil from the facility has the potential to flow overland to a storm drain system which discharges in to the Housatonic River.

(Bulk Storage Facilities)

GENERAL TOPICS: 40 CFR 112.3(a), (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d) No Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan-112.3 Plan not certified by a professional engineer- 112.3(d) Certification lacks one or more required elements - 112.3(d)(1) No management approval of plan- 112.7 Plan not maintained on site (if manned at least four (4) hrs/day) or not available for review - 112.3(e)(1) The April 2011 SPCC Plan, stamped by Brent White with April 29, 2011 signature and September 2014 management signature, was not available for review at the time of EPA's September 10, 2014, inspection. No evidence of five-year review of plan by owner/operator- 112.5(b) No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had a change in: design, construction, operation, or maintenance which affects the facility's discharge potential- 112.5(a) Amendment(s) not certified by a professional engineer- 112.5(c) Plan does not follow sequence of the rule and/or cross-reference, not provided- 112.7 Plan does not discuss additional procedures/methods/equipment not yet fully operational- 112.7 Plan does not discuss alternative environmental protection to SPCC requirements- 112.7(a)(2) Plan has inadequate or no facility diagram- 112.7(a)(3) Inadequate or no listing of type of oil and storage capacity layout of containers- 112.7(a)(3)(i) Inadequate or no discharge prevention measures- 112.7(a)(3)(ii) Inadequate or no description of drainage controls- 112.7(a)(3)(iii) Inadequate or no description of countermeasures for discharge discovery, response and cleanup- 112.7(a)(3)(iv) Recovered materials not disposed of in accordance with legal requirements- 112.7(a)(3)(v) No contact list & phone numbers for response & reporting discharges- 112.7(a)(3)(vi) Plan has inadequate or no information and procedures for reporting a discharge- 112.7(a)(4) Plan has inadequate or no description and procedures to use when a discharge may occur- 112.7(a)(5) Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges- 112.7(b)

112.7(c)

Plan does not discuss and facility does not implement appropriate containment/diversionary structures/equipment-

- If claiming impracticability of appropriate containment/diversionary structures:
Impracticability has not been clearly denoted and demonstrated in plan- 112.7(d)
No contingency plan- 112.7(d)(1)
No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(d)(2)
No periodic integrity and leak testing, if impracticability is claimed - 112.7(d)
Plan has no or inadequate discussion of general requirements not already specified-112.7(j)
 QUALIFIED FACILITY REQUIREMENTS: 112.6
Qualified Facility: No Self certification- 112.6(a)(1)(Tier I) or (b)(1)(Tier II)
Qualified Facility: Self certification lacks required elements- 112.6(a)(1)(Tier I) or (b)(1)(Tier II)
Qualified Facility: Technical amendments not certified- 112.6(a)(2)(Tier I) or (b)(2)(Tier II)
Qualified Facility: Un-allowed deviations from requirements- 112.6(a)(Tier I) or (b)(Tier II)
Qualified Facility: Environmental Equivalence or Impracticability not certified by PE- 112.6(b)(3)(Tier II)
 WRITTEN PROCEDURES AND INSPECTION RECORDS 112.7(e)
Plan does not include inspections and test procedures in accordance with 40 CFR Part 112 - 112.7(e)
Inspections and tests required are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
Mirabito acquired operations in October 2012. Since October 2012, semi-annual audits/inspections were not documented using required document/form noted Exhibit A. and A.1 in April 2011 and December 12, 2014 SPCC Plans respectively.
Aboveground storage tank monthly visual inspection forms (both dated October 2, 2012, Berkley Specialty) do not contain the address of the facility's tanks being inspected.
Monthly monitoring reports for November 19, 2014, and December 16, 2014, reference NYCRR 613.6, State of New York Handling and Storage of Petroleum verse the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
Inspection forms entitled "Monthly Tank and Fuel Truck Inspection Form" – Supervisor signature and time of inspection is not included on Forms. The Form is not included as an inspection form in the December 12, 2014 SPCC Plan.
No inspection records available for review - 112.7(e)
Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector- 112.7(e)
Are not maintained for three years- 112.7(e)
 PERSONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE PREVENTION PROCEDURES 112.7(f)
No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges and or facility operations $-112.7(f)(1)$

No training on discharge procedure protocols- 112.7(f)(1)
No training on the applicable pollution control laws, rules, and regulations and/or SPCC plan- 112.7(f)(1)
Training records not maintained for 3 years- 112.7(f)(1)
Mirabito acquired operations in October 2012. From October 2012 through October 2014 (24 months) record/documentation (as required by Exhibit C in April 2011 SPCC Plan) for spill prevention training were not maintained.
No designated person accountable for spill prevention- 112.7(f)(2)
Spill prevention briefings are not scheduled and conducted at least annually- 112.7(f)(3)
Mirabito acquired operations in October 2012. Spill prevention training was not conducted until October 16, 2014. In addition, the Security Plan and Risk Assessments (as required by Exhibit B in April 2011 SPCC Plan) not conducted/documented since Mirabito acquired operations.
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel and spill prevention procedures-112.7(a)(1)
SECURITY (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(g)
Master flow and drain valves that permit direct outward flow to the surface are not secured in closed position when in a non-operating or standby status- 112.7(g).
Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status- 112.7(g)
Loading and unloading connection(s) of piping/pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when not in service or standby status- 112.7(g)
Facility lighting not adequate to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and to deter vandalism- 112.7(g)
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility security-112.7(a)(1), (g)
FACILITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING 112.7(c) and/or (h-j)
Inadequate containment for Loading Area (not consistent with 112.7(c)) - 112.7(c)
Inadequate secondary containment, and/or rack drainage does not flow to catchment basin, treatment system, or quick drainage system- $112.7(h)(1)$
Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest single compartment of any tank car or tank truck- 112.7(h)(1)
There are no interlocked warning lights, or physical barrier system, or warning signs, or vehicle brake interlock system to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines- 112.7(h)(2)
There is no inspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank car or tank truck- $112.7(h)(3)$
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility tank car and tank truck loading/unloading rack-112.7(a)(1)

QUALIFIED OIL OPERATIONAL EQUIPMENT 112.7(k)
Failure to establish and document procedures for inspections or a monitoring program to detect equipment failure and/or a discharge- 112.7(k)(2)(i)
Failure to provide an oil spill contingency plan- 112.7(k)(2)(ii)(A)
No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(k)(2)(ii)(B)
FACILITY DRAINAGE 112.8(b) & (c)
 11022112111110(e) 11 (v)
Secondary Containment circumvented due to containment bypass valves left open and/or pumps and ejectors not manually activated to prevent a discharge- 112.8(b)(1)&(2) and 112.8(c)3)(i)
Dike water is not inspected prior to discharge and/or valves not open & resealed under responsible supervision-112.8(c)(3)(ii)&(iii)
Adequate records (or NPDES permit records) of drainage from diked areas not maintained- 112.8(c)(3)(iv)
Drainage from undiked areas do not flow into catchment basins ponds, or lagoons, or no diversion systems to retain or return a discharge to the facility- $112.8(b)(3)&(4)$
Two "lift" pumps are not provided for more than one treatment unit- 112.8(b)(5)
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility drainage-112.7(a)(1)
BULK STORAGE CONTAINERS 112.8(c)
Plan has inadequate or no risk analysis and/or evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture - 112.7(i)
Failure to conduct evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture- 112.7(i)
Material and construction of tanks not compatible to the oil stored and the conditions of storage such as pressure and temperature - $112.8(c)(1)$
Secondary containment appears to be inadequate - 112.8(c)(2)
Containment systems, including walls and floors are not sufficiently impervious to contain oil - 112.8(c)(2)
Excessive vegetation which affects the integrity
Walls of containment system slightly eroded or have low areas
Completely buried tanks are not protected from corrosion or are not subjected to regular pressure testing - $112.8(c)(4)$
Partially buried tanks do not have buried sections protected from corrosion- 112.8(c)(5)
Aboveground tanks are subject to visual inspections - 112.8(c)(6)
Aboveground tanks are subject to periodic integrity testing, such as hydrostatic, nondestructive methods, etc 112.8(c)(6)

Records of inspections (or customary business records) do not include inspections of tank supports/foundation, deterioration, discharges and/or accumulations of oil inside diked areas- 112.8(c)(6)
Steam return /exhaust of internal heating coils which discharge into an open water course are not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
Tank battery installations are not in accordance with good engineering practice because <u>none</u> of the following are present- $1/2.8(c)(8)$
No testing of liquid level sensing devices to ensure proper operation- 112.8(c)(8)(v)
Effluent treatment facilities which discharge directly to navigable waters are not observed frequently to detect oil spills- 112.8(c)(9)
Causes of leaks resulting in accumulations of oil in diked areas are not promptly corrected- 112.8(c)(10)
Mobile or portable storage containers are not positioned to prevent discharged oil from reaching Waters of the U.S 112.8(c)(11)
Secondary containment inadequate for mobile or portable storage tanks- 112.8(c)(11)
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks-112.7(a)(1)
Fight has madequate of no discussion of bulk storage talks-112.7(a)(1)
FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS 112.8(d)
FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS 112.8(d)
FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS 112.8(d) Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating, or cathodic protection -112.8(d)(1)
FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS 112.8(d) Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating, or cathodic protection -112.8(d)(1) Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found- 112.8(d)(1)
FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS 112.8(d) Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating, or cathodic protection -112.8(d)(1) Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found- 112.8(d)(1) Not-in-service or standby piping are not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin- 112.8(d)(2) Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for
FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS 112.8(d) Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating, or cathodic protection -112.8(d)(1) Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found- 112.8(d)(1) Not-in-service or standby piping are not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin- 112.8(d)(2) Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction- 112.8(d)(3)
FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS 112.8(d) Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating, or cathodic protection -1/2.8(d)(1) Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found- 1/2.8(d)(1) Not-in-service or standby piping are not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin- 1/2.8(d)(2) Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction- 1/12.8(d)(3) Aboveground valves, piping and appurtenances are not inspected regularly- 1/12.8(d)(4)
Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating, or cathodic protection -112.8(d)(1) Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found- 112.8(d)(1) Not-in-service or standby piping are not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin- 112.8(d)(2) Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction- 112.8(d)(3) Aboveground valves, piping and appurtenances are not inspected regularly- 112.8(d)(4) Periodic integrity and leak testing of buried piping is not conducted- 112.8(d)(4)

End of Checklist.

476133

50-361 213

AMOUNT

\$3,000.00

MIRABITO HOLDINGS, INC.

VENDOR I.D. NAME PAYMENT NUMBER CHECK DATE TEMP000000033#4 U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL 0044442 03/19/2015 UR VOUCHER NUMBER INVOICE NUMBER AMOUNT AMOUNT PAID DISCOUNT NET DESCRIPTION DATE CWA-01-2015-0036 OIL SPI 03/17/2015 \$3,000.00 \$3,000.00 \$3,000.00 \$0.00 10535 032356 \$3,000.00 \$3,000.00 \$0,00 \$3,000.00 CWA-01-2015-0036 OIL SPILL

Mirabito

THE METROCENTER - 49 COURT ST. P.O. BOX 5386 BINGHANTON, NY 13402

00 Dollars 0

NBT Bank N.A. 52 South Broad St. Norwich, NY 13815 CERTIFIED
Payable only as originally drawa and when properly endorsed.

DATE

3/19/201 MAR 1 9 2015

NBT Bank - Bioghanties 326

WOID AFTER 60 DAYS

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

O THE

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL

AND HEAT OF THE

#476133# #:O21303618#

#99999961#

In the Matter of Mirabito Holdings, Inc., d/b/a D.J. Oil Express EPA Docket No. CWA-01-2015-0036

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing Expedited Settlement Agreement was transmitted to the following persons, in the manner specified, on the date below:

Original and one copy hand-delivered:

Wanda Santiago Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. EPA, Region I 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 Boston, MA 02109-3912

Copy by certified mail, return receipt requested:

Mirabito Holdings, Inc. d/b/a D.J. Oil Express PO Box 5306 49 Court Street Binghamton, NY 13902

Ann B. Cianflone, Esq. General Counsel Mirabito Holdings, Inc. PO Box 5306 49 Court Street Binghamton, NY 13902

Dated: 3/20/15

Heather Thompson

Office of Environmental Stewardship U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,

Region I

5 Post Office Square, Suite 100

Boston, MA 02109-3912

Phone: (617) 918-1320

Fax: (617) 918-0320